An exercise in MSE

Problem: Find the generating function g(x) for a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=0..oo}$ where

 $a_0 = 1$ $a_k = k a_0 + (k-1) a_1 + \dots 1 a_{k-1}$

Remark: A "generating function" is g(x) if we write

 $g(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots$

(as far as the radius of convergence of g(x) is nonzero).

Possibly we have even some "closed form" for g(x).

Solution:

We use a matrix-based notation.

a) Assume, the coefficients a_k are in a column-vector **A**:

 $A = column(a_0, a_1, a_2, ...)$

b) Assume a type of vector

$$V(x) = row(1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, ...)$$

Then we can formally write

c) g(x) = V(x) * A

where **A** contains so-far unknown coefficients.

By the recursive definition of **A** we have (with the given $a_0=1$)

1					1		1
1					<i>a</i> ₁		<i>a</i> ₁
2	1			*	<i>a</i> ₂	=	<i>a</i> ₂
3	2	1			<i>a</i> ₃		<i>a</i> ₃
4	3	2	1		<i>a</i> ₄		<i>a</i> ₄

where we note, that if we extract the left-top element, then the lhs has a complete systematic structure when the columns are considered.

Let's call the matrix with the first element subtracted M and that matrix with only the first element as U:

	1				
M=	2	1			U=
	3	2	1		
	4	3	2	1	

•	•	•	•	
·	•	•	•	•
•	•		•	•
•	•	•	•	•
1	•	•	•	•

So we have by the given definition for A

$$A = (M + U) * A$$

which we must solve for A. (Obviously this is also an eigenvalue-problem, and thus could be solved by finding the nontrivial eigenvector of M+U, but we leave this aside here).

Instead of the eigenvector-ansatz by another application of the concept of generating functions we can write:

V(x) * A = g(x)and V(x) * (M+U) * A = g(x)

Now we consider the left part of the lhs and use associativity:

V(x) * (M + U) = V(x)*M + V(x)*U= V(x)*M + V(0)

Now the first column of M seen as coefficients have another generating function, let's call it f(x). At the moment it is not yet important, what that f(x) is, we can proceed completely formal.

If we look at the next columns, these are just the same, only shifted by one factor x. So by the dot-product V(x) * M we get a resulting vector:

$$Y = V(x) * M = [f(x), x*f(x), x^{2} *f(x), ...]$$

where we can extract the scalar f(x):

$$= V(x) * M$$

= f(x) * [1, x, x² , ...]
= f(x) * V(x)

which is then just a scalar multiple of V(x).

Now we put that together:

Y

g(x) = V(x) * Aand g(x) = V(x) * (M + U) * A= (V(0) + f(x) * V(x)) * A= V(0) * A + f(x) * V(x) * A $= a_0 + f(x) * g(x)$

Then we have

$$g(x) = a_0 + f(x)^* g(x)$$

$$g(x)^* (1 - f(x)) = a_0$$

$$g(x) = a_0 / (1 - f(x))$$

(which is a general solution even for a whole class of similar problems!).

It remains to determine a closed form for f(x); and this is just

 $f(x) = x/(1-x)^2$

so we get the closed form for g(x) in our current problem, where also $a_0=1$ as

$$g(x) = a_0 / (1 - x/(1 - x)^2)$$

= (1-x)² / ((1-x)² - x)
= (1-2x+x²)/(1-3x+x²)
= 1+x/(1-3x+x²)

The coefficients $\{a_k\}$ are

 $\{a_k\} = [1,1,3,8,21,55,144,...]$

Appendix: We can also observe, that for k>2 we have a simple recursion

 $a_k = 3^* a_{k-1} - a_{k-2}$

but which holds **only for** k>2 and for k=2 has an inconsistency if a_0 is set to $a_0 <> 0$.

Gottfried Helms